

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/803,345	SYVERSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1615	

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Rejection(s) discussed:

on record

Prior art documents discussed:

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants amended claims 33, 34, 47 and 63 and presented them as independent claims based on the allowable subject matter indicated in the final rejection (12-1-06). However, examiner informed counsel that the independent claims do not include all the non-elected species of the first active agent and hence are not allowable. Counsel authorized examiner to cancel the non-elected species of the first active agent by examiner's amendment. Examiner further directed the attention of counsel to the trademark names present in claim 46 and in the instant specification (Glucopon). Counsel authorized examiner to cancel claim 46 and further capitalize the word glucopon in the specification. .